TABLE Showing length of instars, based on record for first individual.

Eggs	Eggs	Second	Third	Fourth	Fifth	Adult
laid	hatched	instar	instar	instar	instar	
Date not noted	May 23	May 26	June 1	June 7	June 13	June 21
June 11	June 22	June 25	July 1	July 4	July 11	July 21
June 16	June 27	June 29	July 4	July 10	July 16	July 25

EXPLANATION OF PLATES 9, 10.

- Plate 9. a-Sprig of Melothria pendula. Food-plant of Cimolus obscurus. Reduced.
 - b-Egg-cluster of Cimolus obscurus on under side of leaf of Melothria pendula.
 - c-Nymph of Cimolus obscurus in first instar.
 - d-Nymph of Cimolus obscurus in second instar.
 - Lines beside egg-cluster and nymphs represent a millimeter enlarged in same proportion as the egg-cluster and nymphs.
- Plate 10. e-Nymph of Cimolus obscurus in third instar.
 - f-Nymph of Cimolus obscurus in fourth instar.
 - g-Nymph of Cimolus obscurus in fifth instar.
 - h-Adult of Cimolus obscurus.

Lines beside nymphs and adult represent a millimeter enlarged in same proportion as the nymphs and adult.

NOTES ON THE GENUS NOCTUELIA. (LEPIDOPTERA: PYRALIDAE.)

BY WM. BARNES AND F. H. BENJAMIN, Decatur, Illinois.

Genus NOCTUELIA Guenée.

Genotype Cynaeda superbalis H.-S.

1854, Guenée, Spec. Gén., VIII, Delt. & Pyral., p. 113, superbalis sole species

and therefore type.

1899, Hampson, Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond., p. 278, type designated superba (melaxantha), presumably in place of the Herrich-Schaeffer synonymic name evidently considered unavailable. Aporodes Gn., Aporocosmus Butl., Semniomima Warr., Minioschinia Warr., listed as synonyms.

Noctuelia rhea Druce.

1898, Druce, Biol. Centr.-Amer., Het., II, 490, pl. XCIV, f. 23, Panemeria.

Described from Durango City, Mexico, as a Phalaenid (Noctuid) close to Anarta. Two specimens are in the Barnes

Collection from the Baboquivari Mts., Pima Co., Ariz. (O. C. Poling), 1-15 and 15-30 Sept., 1923.

Mr. Schaus possesses a specimen labeled by Hampson

Noctuelia rhea Druce.

The species does not fit well with the North American forms assigned to the genus *Noctuelia*, but the differences are not great, and no other genus appears to be available.

Noctuelia unicoloralis Barnes & McDunnough.

1914, Barnes and McDunnough, Contr. N. H. Lep. N. A., II, (6), 244, pl. II, f. 15, *Heliothela*.

Appears to be strictly congeneric with *rhea*, and not a *Heliothela*.

Noctuelia costipunctalis Barnes & McDunnough.

1914, Barnes and McDunnough, Contr. N. H. Lep. N. A., II, (6), 244, pl. II, f. 14, *Heliothela*.

This species still further diverges from the bulk of North American *Noctuelia*, but apparently is not a *Heliothela*. Temporarily it may be placed in *Noctuelia*. This eliminates the genus *Heliothela* from our lists.

Noctuelia achemonalis pulcharalis new subspecies.

Entirely similar to achemonalis achemonalis (1914, B. & McD., Contrib. N. H. Lep. N. A., II, (6), 243, pl. II, f. 12), but with the basal pink area so extended over the primary as to leave only a narrow band of ochreous.

Forty specimens of typical achemonalis are before the authors, with the exception of one New Mexican specimen, all

from Arizona.

A single female "cotype" of achemonalis is referable to pulcharalis. It is the only New Mexican specimen mentioned in the original description, which, in order to include it, reads: "In some specimens the basal pink area extends over half the

wing leaving only a narrow band of ochreous."

Type localities and number and sexes of types: Holotype &, N. Mex., 24–30 Aug.; Allotype &, (cotype of achemonalis), Deming, N. Mex., 1–7 Sept.; 2 & Paratypes, So. N. Mex., 23–30 Aug., (O. C. Poling), and San Bernadino Ranch, Cochise Co., Ariz., 3750 ft. (F. R. Snow).